# EVALUATION GUIDE 2005 SLATE FUNDING 1<sup>ST</sup> STAGE

# **INTRODUCTION**

# 1. Aim of the Evaluation Guide

The aim of the **Evaluation Guide** is:

- to help assessors to understand the different stages of the evaluation process;
- to define the role of each assessor;
- to provide standard evaluation forms for the assessors. The use of these forms is mandatory for all assessors;
- to provide a glossary to facilitate and to harmonise the understanding of the evaluation guide. The glossary is based on the **guidelines**, which contain a detailed description of the entire support scheme. As applicants have prepared their applications on this basis, assessors should in any case have a thorough knowledge of these guidelines.

#### 2. Assessors

For Slate Funding applications, the assessors are:

- **the technical assistance office of the Commission (TAO)**, in charge of checking the eligibility of applications and assessing the selection criterion relating to the financial capacity (only for the companies which have been pre-selected) (**parts A and B.1 of the evaluation guide**).
- **two experts**, (for business and content aspects). The two experts provide an assessment of the selection criteria and the award criteria (**parts B.2 and C of the evaluation guide**).
- an evaluation committee, with at least 2 officials from the MEDIA unit + 1 official from another directorate + 2 to 4 independent experts. The evaluation committee validates the eligibility check and checks the assessment of the selection and award criteria. The evaluation committee also assesses the subsidiary criteria (part D of the evaluation guide). The evaluation committee provides a clear justification for all its decisions. Minutes of its meetings are taken and transmitted to the Member States (MEDIA Committee) and to the Commission for decision.
- **the European Commission,** which takes the **final decision** regarding the selection of proposals.

# 3. Organisation of the evaluation process

<b>Evaluation phase</b>	Assessor	The application
Eligibility Check	TAO	Is eligible $\Rightarrow$ is assessed
		against selection criteria
		<b>Is not eligible</b> $\Rightarrow$ is
		eliminated from the selection
		process
Selection criteria	TAO + Experts	Meets the selection criteria
		⇒ is assessed against award
		criteria
		Does not meet the selection
		<b>criteria</b> ⇒ is eliminated
		from the selection process. If
		the selection criterion
		concerning the financial

		stability is assessed as not
		fulfilled, the application is
		eliminated or can be
		supported subject to the
		provision of a bank guarantee
		or without pre-financing.
Award criteria	Experts	Is scored
	Evaluation Committee	Is finally assessed and
		scored.
Final decision	The Commission	Is selected or rejected

## 4. Ranking of the projects

The Evaluation Committee checks for each application the consistency between the scores given by the experts and their justification. It may change the scores if it doesn't agree with the experts, but must always justify its decisions.

On the basis of the final scores for the award criteria and of the scores for the subsidiary criteria, the applications are ranked by order of merit and the best ones are funded. The total budget available for the call for proposals cannot in any case be exceeded at the end of the selection process. However, the **final** breakdown of the budget between the first and second selection rounds on one hand and between single projects and slate funding applications on the other hand is decided on the basis of the number and quality of the projects received in each round and on the basis of the proportion of single projects/slate funding applications received.

## 5. Decision regarding the amount of support

The evaluation committee can decide to lower the amount of support requested by the applicant. The evaluation committee must justify its decision on the basis of the development budget, by indicating which items are overestimated, or on the basis of the final number of eligible and accepted projects in the slate.

#### 6. Decision by the Commission

On the basis of the proposals from the Evaluation Committee and after consulting the MEDIA Committee, the Commission adopts a decision granting support to the best applications. The total budget available for the call for proposals cannot in any case be exceeded at the end of the selection process.

# 7. Information of the applicants

In case of non eligibility or rejection, applicants are informed by the Commission. The experts' evaluations are not communicated as such to applicants.

#### 8. Confidentiality and conflicts of interest

The names of the experts are confidential. Upon reception of the first submission, experts are asked to sign a declaration of honour by which they commit themselves to respect this confidentiality.

In order to avoid any conflicts of interest, experts involved in the submitted projects and/or with the applicant companies will not be retained for participation to the evaluation process. Experts are also asked to sign a declaration of honour to confirm their independence.

# A: ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA

Assessor: TAO

#### A.1. ELIGIBILITY OF SLATE FUNDING APPLICATIONS

Applications must comply with each of the criteria below. Failure to comply with one of them entails the elimination from the selection process. Only eligible applications qualify for the next stage of the evaluation process.

In case of non compliance with one or several of the criteria below, the application is ineligible and is eliminated from the selection process. The Commission does not ask applicants for missing documents.

If the documents provided by the applicant company don't allow a complete and final assessment of the eligibility, the Commission requires additional information in order to be able to confirm whether or not the application complies with the eligibility criteria. For example, the Commission asks to the applicant company:

- a document confirming the shareholding/nationality/authorised signatory/creation date when the official documents enclosed in the original application don't provide such information
- a document confirming that the annual accounts enclosed in the application have been certified
- the balance sheet and/or profit and loss accounts if Form E has been duly filled
- Form E, duly filled, if the annual accounts have been provided
- if the declaration on the honour and/or Form E are enclosed but not signed, a copy signed by the authorised signatory
- additional information to the documents provided in the original application in order to prove the previous experience (e.g. a proof that the previous work is completed, a sales report in case a sales agent agreement signed within the reference period has been provided, a proof of official credit in case only a statement has been provided, an additional proof of the creative character of the previous documentary work or a mentioned agreement on a statement of distribution in order to check the date of its signature).

# LIST OF THE ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA (1):

# APPLICATION FORMS

- 1. Duly filled in application forms specific to the call for proposals and to the scheme (single project/MEDIA New talent/Slate funding  $1^{st}$  stage or  $2^{nd}$  stage)
- 2. Application submitted before the closing submission date
- 3. Respect of number of applications per call

# INFORMATION ON THE APPLICANT COMPANY

4. A recent extract from the company's registration stating the date of registration, the legal full name, the registered office address, the legal status, objects and the names of its authorised legal representatives and an up-to-date memorandum of association or equivalent official document

- 5. The applicant is a European company
- 6. The applicant is a production company
- 7. The applicant is an independent company
- 8. The applicant company is registered for at least 3 years
- 9. Complete annual accounts of the last two financial years
- 10. Relevant required track record of 1 (SF1 applications) or 2 (SF2 applications) completed project(s)

# **EXCLUSION CRITERIA**

11. The applicant does not fall within one of the cases of exclusion listed under chapter 3.6 of the guidelines (Art. 93 and 94 of the financial regulation): the company has to provide a signed declaration on honour stating that it is not in any of the mentioned cases. The criterion is considered to be fulfilled when the declaration is signed by the legal representative of the applicant company.

# A.2. NUMBER OF PROJECTS SUBMITTED AND ELIGIBILITY OF PROJECTS WITHIN THE SLATE

For Slates 1 and 2, minimum and maximum numbers of projects per Slate are defined. Each project within a Slate must comply with the eligibility criteria below.

In case of non compliance with one or several of the criteria below, the proposal is ineligible and is eliminated from the selection process. The Commission does not ask applicants for missing documents.

If the documents provided by the applicant company don't allow a complete and final assessment of the eligibility, the Commission requires additional information in order to be able to confirm whether or not the application complies with the eligibility criteria. For example, the Commission asks to the applicant company:

- a full detailed budget in case only a summary has been provided in the original application
- a revised budget when there is a clear mistake in the original budget (for instance in relation with the exchange rate)
- a confirmation concerning the duration of a project
- a confirmation concerning a change of title

If, as a result of the non eligibility of a project, less than 3 projects are left, the Slate is considered non eligible and is eliminated from the selection process.

The assessor must indicate which project(s) is (are) non eligible and the consequence on the Slate.

# LIST OF THE ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA (2):

ELIGIBILITY OF PROJECTS WITHIN THE SLATE (IF APPROPRIATE, INDICATE WHICH PROJECT(S) DO(ES) NOT FULFIL THE CRITERION)

- 12 Only expenses and operations relating to the development phase of the project are submitted for support
- 13. Project falls under one of the eligible category of projects
- 14. Running time of the project is adequate
- 15. Ownership of rights is demonstrated as being held by the applicant company
- 16. Project not already financed by Media Development
- 17. Requested amount per project is eligible and total requested amount for the slate of projects is eligible and does not exceed 50/60 % of the total development budget

# NUMBER OF ELIGIBLE PROJECTS WITHIN THE SLATE

18. For Slate Funding 1 applications: between 3 and 6 projects; for Slate Funding 2 applications: between 3 and 10 projects

# TABLE USED TO CHECK THE ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA:

			Eligibility criteria n° (Y/N)										Conclusions (Accepted or Rejected)	Comments							
Company	Project Reference	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18		

# **B. SELECTION CRITERIA**

For the evaluation of selection criteria, the assessors are asked to give a global evaluation regarding the ability of the applicant company to carry out the Slate Funding application, from operational and technical points of view.

#### LIST OF THE SELECTION CRITERIA:

- 1. Stable and sufficient sources of funding to maintain activity throughout the period during which the slate of projects is carried out
- 2. Professional competencies and qualifications required to complete the slate of projects
- 3. Experience required to complete the slate of projects

#### B.1 SELECTION CRITERIA ASSESSED BY THE TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE OFFICE

#### **Assessor: TAO**

- 1. Stable and sufficient sources of funding to maintain activity throughout the period during which the slate of projects is carried out: this criterion is checked on the basis of Form E "Financial status of the applicant company". For the protection of the financial interest of the European Communities, the financial capacity of the applicant companies which have been pre-selected for development support (after assessment of the award criteria) is assessed on the basis of:
- the balance between capital and reserves and liabilities
- the annual profitability
- the proved co-financing
- the level of the total development budget and the requested contribution.

This evaluation is complemented by the evaluation of the financial capacity by the experts (see B2).

If, the company is assessed as not able to implement the project from a financial point of view, the project is eliminated or supported by MEDIA without pre-financing or subject to the provision of a bank guarantee.

#### **B.2** ASSESSMENT OF SELECTION CRITERIA BY THE EXPERTS

# **Assessor: Experts**

- 1. Stable and sufficient sources of funding to maintain activity throughout the period during which the slate of projects is carried out: experts are asked to give an opinion on the financial capacity of the applicant companies, on the basis of their accounts for the last two years. If, on the basis of the TAO assessment (see B1) complemented by the information provided by the experts, the company is considered as not able to implement the slate of projects from a financial point of view, the application is eliminated or supported by MEDIA without pre-financing or subject to the provision of a bank guarantee.
- 2. Professional competencies and qualifications required to complete the slate of projects: this criterion is assessed on the basis of the CV's of the company staff, and particularly the staff who will be responsible for the project, as well as of the key members of the creative team.
- 3. Experience required to complete the slate of projects: this criterion is assessed on the basis of the list of the principal projects carried out by the applicant company, specifying the category, cinema release or television broadcast or support/platform, production budget, names and nationality of co-producers/distributors/broadcasters

# EVALUATION OF THE SELECTION CRITERIA BY THE EXPERTS

Selection criterion  $n^{\circ}1$ : Stable and sufficient sources of funding to maintain activity throughout the period during which the slate of projects is carried out

		Yes	No						
Stable and sufficient sources of funding to maintain activity throughout the period									
during which the slate of projects is carried out									
Justify your									
appreciation									
(max. 5 lines)									

Selection criterion  $n^\circ 2$ : Professional competencies and qualifications required to complete the slate of projects

Names of the producer(s) and head of development		
	Ves	No

		Yes	No				
Does the company's team have the necessary skills and track record to achieve the proposed slate and the necessary European and international experience to get a trans-national co-production off the ground?							
Justify your appreciation (max. 10 lines)							

# **CONCLUSION**

						Yes	No
Professional competencies a	nd qualifications	required t	to complete	the sla	te of		
projects							
Justify your appreciation (max. 5 lines)							

# Selection criterion n°3: Experience required to complete the slate of projects

		Yes	No			
Do the proposed creative teams (author(s), director(s), director(s) of photography, others) on the projects have the necessary experience to complete the slate of projects?						
Justify your appreciation (max. 10 lines)						

# **CONCLUSION**

		Yes	No			
Experience required to complete the slate of projects						
Justify your appreciation (max. 5 lines)						

# TABLE USED TO SUMMARISE THE ASSESSMENT OF THE SELECTION CRITERIA:

		Selection Criteria n°: (Y/N)			Recommendation of the Committee (yes/no)	Comments, conditions if applicable
Company	Project Reference	1	2	3	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	

# C. AWARD CRITERIA

<b>Assessor: Experts</b>		

For award criteria, projects are scored by the assessor from 0 to 10 (half points are not allowed). A clear justification must always be given by the assessor.

Criterion  $n^\circ 1$ : Quality and originality of the concept, script and/or narrative structure of the projects

Please answer to the questions for each project of the slate which, if selected, will be developed with the requested MEDIA support (Project 1 to x)

# PROJECT 1

1 KOJECI 1		
Summarize the content of the Project		
	Yes	No
Does the project promote violence, racism or pornography? If yes, the project is		
eliminated from the selection process.		

	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent
Quality and				
originality of the				
project (narrative				
structure/dramatic				
potential/visual				
style/creative				
approach)				
Justify your				
appreciation				
(max. 10 lines)				

Only for a multimedia project

	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent
Inter-activity and				
technology of the				
project				
Justify your				
appreciation				
(max. 5 lines)				

Only for an animation project

	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent
Graphics/Art work				
(backgrounds,				
main characters				
model sheet) of				
the project				
Justify your				
appreciation				

(max. 5 lines)					
PROJECT 2					
Summarize the conte	nt of the Project				
				Yes	No
Does the project pro	omote violence, r	acism or pornograp	ohy? If yes, the pro		
	eliminated from the selection process.				
	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent	t
Quality and					
originality of the					
project (narrative structure/dramatic					
potential/visual					
style/creative					
approach)					
Justify your					
appreciation					
(max. 10 lines)					
Only for a multime	dia project				
	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent	t
Inter-activity and					
technology of the					
project					
Justify your appreciation					
(max. 5 lines)					
(man e mes)					
Only for an animat	ion project				
	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent	t
Graphics/Art work					-
(backgrounds,					
main characters					
model sheet) of					
the project					
Justify your					
appreciation (max. 5 lines)					
(max. 3 mics)					
PROJECT 3					
Summarize the conte	nt of the Project				
Summurize the conte	nt of the Froject				
				Yes	No
Does the project pro	omote violence r	acism or nornogran	hv? If yes the pro		140
Does the project promote violence, racism or pornography? If yes, the project is eliminated from the selection process.					

	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent
Quality and				
originality of the				
project (narrative				
structure/dramatic				
potential/visual				
style/creative				
approach)				
Justify your				
appreciation				
(max. 10 lines)				

Only for a multimedia project

omy for a mattimedia project				
	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent
Inter-activity and				
technology of the				
project				
Justify your				
appreciation				
(max. 5 lines)				

Only for an animation project

	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent
Graphics/Art work (backgrounds, main characters model sheet) of the project				
Justify your appreciation (max. 5 lines)				

# CONCLUSION: Global appreciation on the quality and originality, script/narrative structure of the projects

	Poor (0 to 3)	Average (4 to 6)	Good (7 to 8)	Excellent (9 to 10)
Final Score				
Justification				
(minimum 3 lines)				
()				

# Criterion 2: Quality of the development strategies

	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent
Development				
timetables and				
adequacy with the				
projects' needs				
Justify your appreciation (max. 10 lines)				

	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent
Consistency of the development budgets and adequacy with the				
Justify your appreciation (max. 10 lines)				

	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent
Co-development				
strategies and				
matching funds in				
place <sup>1</sup>				
Justify your appreciation (max. 10 lines)				

# **CONCLUSION:**

	Poor (0 to 3)	Average (4 to 6)	Good (7 to 8)	Excellent (9 to 10)
Final Score				
Justification (minimum 3 lines)				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Experts must take into account the fact that projects are still at the development stage and that MEDIA does not require any matching funds in place. Already existing matching funds should therefore be considered a "plus".

# Criterion n°3: Quality of the financing strategies and the production potential of the projects

	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent
Financing				
strategies and their				
orientation (national,				
international, private,				
public)				
Justify your				
appreciation				
(max. 10 lines)				

	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent
Potential of the				
projects to enter				
into the production				
stage				
Justify your				
appreciation				
(max. 10 lines)				

	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent
Realism of the				
estimated				
production budgets				
Justify your				
appreciation				
(max. 10 lines)				

	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent
Letters of interest				
or co-production				
agreements already				
in place (national,				
international) <sup>2</sup>				
Justify your				
appreciation				
(max. 10 lines)				

# **CONCLUSION:**

Poor (0 to 3) Average (4 to 6) Good (7 to 8) Excellent (9 to 10)

Final Score

Justification (minimum 3 lines)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Experts must take into account the fact that projects are still at the development stage and that MEDIA does not require any letters of interest and/or co-production agreements in place. Already existing letters of interest/co-production agreements should therefore be considered a "plus".

# Criterion $n^{\circ}4$ : European and international exploitation potential and quality of the marketing/distribution strategies

	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent
European/International				
potential and sales				
potential				
Instifu vous				
Justify your appreciation				
(max. 10 lines)				
(max. 10 mics)				

	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent
Marketing and distribution strategies				
Justify your appreciation (max. 10 lines)				

	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent
Choice of territories for co-production and/or distribution				
Justify your appreciation (max. 10 lines)				

	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent
Exploitation letters of				
interests or distribution				
agreements already in				
place <sup>3</sup>				
Justify your				
appreciation				
(max. 10 lines)				

# **CONCLUSION:**

Poor (0 to 3) Average (4 to 6) Good (7 to 8) Excellent (9 to 10)

Final Score

Justification (minimum 3 lines)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Experts must take into account the fact that projects are still at the development stage and that MEDIA does not require any letters of interest and/or distribution agreements in place. Already existing letters of interest/distribution agreements should therefore be considered a "plus".

# **AWARD CRITERIA - SUMMARY**

Award criteria	Score	Score justification
1. Quality and originality of		
the projects		
2. Development strategies		
3. Financing strategies and		
production potential		
4. International exploitation		
potential and marketing /		
distribution strategies		
Total:	/ 40	

As an indication, would you recommend the rejection or the selection of the project?

Recommendation for selection	
Recommendation for rejection	

If you recommend the selection:

Amount of support requested by the applicant company	
Amount of support recommended by the expert	
The support recommended by the expert cannot be higher that the support	
requested by the applicant company. A reduced amount can only be justified	
on the basis of the development budget (please indicate which items you	
consider overestimated).	

# **Reasons for recommendations**

(Personal and comprehensive appreciation of the proposal)

Please note that your evaluation will not be transmitted to the applicant.

# D. SUBSIDIARY CRITERIA

Assessor:	$\mathbf{EV}$	Αl	Α	$\mathbf{T}$	I(	)N	C	(	Λ	ΛN	Λľ	$\Gamma$	ΓF	₹,

The Evaluation Committee can give additional points to projects meeting one or several of these criteria.

JUSTIFICATION  How do you assess the contribution of the project to the development of the potential of
JUSTIFICATION
How do you assess the contribution of the project to the development of the potential of
How do you assess the contribution of the project to the development of the potential of
How do you assess the contribution of the project to the development of the potential of
How do you assess the contribution of the project to the development of the potential of
How do you assess the contribution of the project to the development of the potential of
countries or regions with a low production capacity and/or a restricted geographical and linguistic area <sup>4</sup> ?
SCORE (0-2)
JUSTIFICATION

How do you assess the contribution of the project to the development of a small and medium-sized enterprise?

**SCORE (0-2)** 

**JUSTIFICATION** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> For the purposes of the current call for proposals, these countries are:

<sup>-</sup> Austria, Bulgaria, Belgium, Denmark, Finland, Greece, Iceland, Ireland, Liechtenstein, Luxembourg, Norway, the Netherlands, Portugal, Sweden and the new Members States as of 01/05/04.

#### GLOSSARY FOR SLATE FUNDING APPLICATIONS EVALUATION

#### CASES OF EXCLUSION

Financial support may not be awarded to applicants who:

- (a) are bankrupt or being wound up, are having their affairs administered by the courts, have entered into an arrangement with creditors, have suspended business activities, are the subject of proceedings concerning those matters, or are in any analogous situation arising from a similar procedure provided for in national legislation or regulations;
- (b) have been convicted of an offence concerning their professional conduct by a judgment which has the force of res judicata;
- (c) have been guilty of grave professional misconduct proven by any means which the authorising service can justify:
- (d) have not fulfilled obligations relating to the payment of social security contributions or the payment of taxes in accordance with the legal provisions of the country in which they are established or with those of the country of the authorising service or those of the country where the project is to be performed;
- (e) have been the subject of a judgment which has the force of res judicata for fraud, corruption, involvement in a criminal organisation or any other illegal activity detrimental to the Communities' financial interests;
- (f) following another grant award procedure or procurement procedure financed by the Community budget, have been declared to be in serious breach of contract for failure to comply with their contractual obligations.
- (g) are subject to a conflict of interest;
- (h) are guilty of misrepresentation in supplying the information required by the authorising service or fail to supply this information.

# COUNTRIES WITH A LOW PRODUCTION CAPACITY AND/OR RESTRICTED GEOGRAPHICAL AND LINGUISTIC AREA

For the purposes of the call for proposals, the countries with a low audiovisual production capacity are: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Cyprus, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Iceland, Latvia, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Norway, the Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Slovakia, Slovenia and Sweden.

#### **DEVELOPMENT**

All operations prior to the pre-production of an audiovisual project.

The development phase includes in particular:

- the acquisition of rights;
- research;
- archive or stock footage research (for productions exploiting Europe's television, film and digital heritage);
- all script writing, including treatments, up to and including the final draft;
- storyboards;
- research and identification of key cast and crew;
- preparation of the production budget;
- preparation of a financing plan;
- research and identification of industry partners, co-producers and financiers;
- preparation of the production schedule up to delivery;
- initial marketing and sales plans (attending markets and attracting buyers, preferred initial releases, festivals and markets to be considered, etc.).
- for creative documentaries: the realisation of a video treatment;
- for animation projects: graphics research and production of a pilot;
- for multimedia projects: the creation of programme content (treatment), creation of basic audio and video graphic elements, software programming necessary to run the project, programming logic (flow-chart), production of the demo.

#### **ELIGIBLE CATEGORIES OF PROJECTS**

Submitted projects must belong to the following categories: **drama**, **creative documentary**, **animation** (for cinema and/or television) **and multimedia concepts**.

For **creative documentaries**, the creative character of the submitted project must be explicitly demonstrated and argued by the company in the application.

News programmes, magazines, talk-shows, reality shows, docu-soaps, schools and "how to" programmes, productions intended to promote an institution or its activities are ineligible for support. **Multimedia concepts** designed for Internet, Digital television, CD-ROM, DVD-ROM, Hybrid DVD, Game consoles, Mobile devices, etc. can be:

- concepts for animation series specifically designed for online release (excluding individual short films);
- edutainment programmes for children/teenagers, character-based adventure games for individual or multiple players;
- new interactive concepts for fiction, games or formats for digital television, the Internet or portable devices;

#### **EUROPEAN COMPANY**

The applicant company must be **registered** in one of the countries participating in the MEDIA Programme and it must be **owned** directly or by majority participation by nationals from these participating countries.

#### INDEPENDENT COMPANY

The applicant company cannot be controlled by a television broadcaster either

- through shareholding (for a single broadcaster no more than 25% of the production company's share capital or no more than 50% in case of several broadcasters)
- in commercial terms (over a three-year period more than 90 % of a production company's revenue cannot be generated through a single broadcaster).

# NUMBER OF APPLICATIONS PER CALL

A production company is allowed to submit one application per call for proposals.

In case of rejection, the same application may be resubmitted only under a future call for proposals (2005 or later) provided that substantial modifications have been made.

#### **OWNERSHIP OF RIGHTS**

The applicant company must demonstrate with signed and dated documents that it holds at least 50% of the rights to the submitted projects. The whole chain of rights must be covered (i.e. in case of adaptation : the primary work and its adaptation, in case of co-authors : all the authors involved, co-development and co-production agreements).

#### **PARTICIPATING COUNTRIES**

The Member States of the European Union plus Bulgaria, Iceland, Liechtenstein and Norway.

#### PRODUCTION COMPANY

The principal activity of the applicant company must be audiovisual or multimedia production (e.g. universities, service providers are excluded).

## **RUNNING TIME**

The total running time of the proposed project must be no less than:

- for creative documentaries (individual projects and series): 25 minutes;
- for fiction (individual projects and series): 50 minutes;
- for animation (individual projects and series): 24 minutes;
- for multimedia, the time criterion is irrelevant.

#### SUCCESSFUL TRACK RECORD OF A COMPLETED PROJECT

**Principle** 

For **Slate Funding 1** applicants must, within a three-year period prior to the date of application, have produced ONE audiovisual or multimedia work which has an ensured distribution in another country than its country of origin.

For **Slate Funding 2** applicants must, within a three-year period prior to the date of application, have produced at least TWO audiovisual and/or multimedia works which have an ensured distribution in another country than its country of origin.

Derogations related to the track record

In evaluating the prior activity of the applicant company, the background of the producer of the applicant company (managing director, shareholder) can be taken into account, if its previous participation in the production is significant as: producer (except minority producer); executive producer; delegate producer.

Derogation for Slate Funding 2 application including fiction and/or animation

For Slate Funding 2 application including fiction and/or animation projects, the reference period is extended to 5 years from the date of application for previous fiction and/or animation productions.